arXiv:math-ph/0302061v1 26 Feb 2003

SPECIAL WEIGHTS AND ROOTS FOR FINITE LIE ALGEBRAS

H. R. Karadayi
Dept. Physics, Fac. Science, Istanbul Tech. Univ.
80626, Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey
e-mail: karadayi@itu.edu.tr

M. Gungormez
Dept. Physics, Fac. Science, Istanbul Tech. Univ.
80626, Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey
e-mail: gungorm@itu.edu.tr

Abstract

With the introduction of **special roots**, we show the existence of some **special weights** with quite interesting properties for finite Lie algebras. We propose and discuss two statements which lead us to an explicit construction of these special weights and roots. It is seen that the special weights provide us a basis to express Weyl group actions directly no matter what the Weyl group elements would be.

I. DEFINITION OF SPECIAL WEIGHTS AND ROOTS

In the Cartan-Weyl tradition of a finite Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$ of rank r , **simple roots** α_i's and their duals, **the fundamental dominant weights**, λ_i's play a principal role. Their very definitions and also some of their properties will be given here briefly with reference of the excellent book of Humphreys[**1**]. Throughout the work, we always assume $i, j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ and $A, B = 1, 2, \ldots dimW(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}})$ where $W(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}})$ is the **Weyl Group** of Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{r}}$ be Cartan-Matrix of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$. The simple roots can then be thought of as r-dimensional linear vectors satisfying scalar products

$$< \alpha_i, \alpha_j >= (\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{r}})_{i,j}. \tag{I.1}$$

Within the scope of this work, the **Root Lattice** $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and the **positive root lattice** ${\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{r}}}^+$ will be considered respectively as is composed out of integral and nonnegative-integral linear superpositions of these simple roots. The **Weight Lattice** $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is thus defined to be the dual of root lattice. For any two elements $\lambda, \alpha \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{r}}$, the existence of a non-symmetric scalar product

$$< \lambda, \alpha > \equiv 2 \ \frac{(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$$

is always guaranteed by (I.1) where (λ, α) is the symmetrical scalar product which is known to exist for any two r-dimensional vectors. The set

$$\mathcal{H}(1) \equiv \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r\}$$

of fundamental dominant weights are then defined by

$$< \lambda_i, \alpha_j > \equiv \delta_{i,j} \quad . \tag{I.2}$$

Now we look for some other sets

$$\mathcal{H}(A) \equiv \{\Lambda_A(1), \Lambda_A(2), \ldots, \Lambda_A(r)\} \quad , \quad A = 1, 2, \ldots, D \tag{I.3}$$

with the property that

$$(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) = (\Lambda_A(i), \Lambda_A(j)). \tag{I.4}$$

Elements $\Lambda_A(i)$ of these sets will then be called **special weights**. Note here that (I.4) is central in the definition of special weights. The maximal value D of the number of sets $\mathcal{H}(A)$ has also some implications as will be seen in the following.

To find the special weights explicitly, we need the following two conjectures which lead us in fact the definition of special roots in a natural way.

Conjecture 1

Let $\Gamma(i)^+$ be the subset of ${\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{r}}}^+$ defined by

$$\Gamma(i)^+ \equiv \{ \alpha \in {\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{r}}}^+ \ / \ < \lambda_i , \alpha >= 1 \} \quad . \tag{I.5}$$

We then have

$$dim \Gamma(i)^+ = dim W(\lambda_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

It is important to notice here that no element of $\Gamma(i)^+$ could be equal to an element of the Weyl orbit $W(\lambda_i)$ of λ_i. Special weights can then be specified explicitly as a result of our second conjecture:

Conjecture 2

Any element $\Lambda_A(i) \in \mathcal{H}(A)$ has the form

$$\Lambda_A(i) = \lambda_i - \gamma_A(i) \tag{I.6}$$

where $\gamma_A(i) \in \Gamma(i)^+$ and

$$D = dim W(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}) \quad . \tag{I.7}$$

Note here, due to notation, that the possibilities $\gamma_A(i) = \gamma_B(i)$ or $\gamma_A(i) = \gamma_A(j)$ could be valid for some A,B or i,j. Then, it is natural to call $\gamma_A(i)$'s encountered in the explicit forms of special weights to be **special roots** which are in fact elements of the sets

$$\mathcal{R}(A) \equiv \{ \gamma_A(1), \gamma_A(2), \ldots, \gamma_A(r) \} \quad , \quad A = 1, 2, \ldots, D \quad . \tag{I.8}$$

We are now ready to state why special weights are so special. Our statement for $\Sigma_A \in W(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}})$ here is simply that

$$\Sigma_A(\lambda_i) = \Lambda_A(i) \quad . \tag{I.9}$$

We must emphasize here that Σ_A's are Weyl group elements whereas $\Sigma_A(\lambda_i)$'s give us their action on the weight lattice. For an explicit construction of Weyl group elements, one of the best that we can do is to find a presentation of Weyl group in terms of which its elements are to be expressed as multiple products of simple reflections, the elements corresponding to simple roots. It is well known however that,except some quite simple ones, this is already what one can not do in almost all cases for which the right hand side of (I.9) proves useful.

In the next section, we will explicitly show how our two conjectures hold in general for

A_r Lie algebras. Beside a previous article [**2**], a detailed discussion will be given for G_2 in another work [**3**] which is complementary to this one. The case for F_4 will also be presented by one of the authors separately [**4**]. Explicit tabulations of special roots are also given for E_6 and E_7 [**5**]. As a nice application of (I.9), we will show, in a subsequent paper [**6**], that Weyl character formula can be applied explicitly in almost all cases with only one exception of E_8 Lie algebra for which we actually need some other tools in explicit calculations [**7**].

II. A_r LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we show how one lets our two conjectures to be true for A_r Lie algebras. By presenting A_3 Weyl group elements in terms of multiple products of its simple reflections we also show that (I.9) is in fact true.

To show the validity of conjecture 1, we seek, for each and every λ_k, the solutions

$$\gamma = m_1\alpha_1 + m_2\alpha_2 + \ldots + m_r\alpha_r \qquad (II.1)$$

of the equation

$$(\lambda_k, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\,(\gamma,\gamma) \quad . \qquad (II.2)$$

which is in fact equivalent to

$$m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \ldots m_r^2 = m_1 m_2 + m_2 m_3 + \ldots + m_{r-1} m_r + m_k \qquad (II.3)$$

where m_k's are non-negative integers. This simply shows that the solutions (II.1) are restricted by conditions

$$m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r \leq k \quad , \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{r}{2}\ (\frac{r+1}{2})$$

depending on whether r is an even (odd) integer. Let us note here that the solutions are related for $\Gamma(k)^+$ and $\Gamma(r+1-k)^+$ due to diagram automorphisms of A_r Lie algebras. In result, we can say that we only have a finite number of solutions for any $\Gamma(k)^+$.

To expose these solutions, let us introduce another fundamental system of weights μ_K defined by

$$\alpha_k \equiv \mu_k - \mu_{k+1} \qquad (II.4)$$

together with the condition

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \ldots + \mu_{r+1} \equiv 0 \quad . \qquad (II.5)$$

Being in coincidence with our earlier works, we would like to call μ_K's **fundamental weights** [**8**] for K=1,2, . . . , r+1 . The complete set of solutions (II.1) can then be expressed by

$$\Gamma(k)^+ = \bigcup_{s=0}^{k} \Gamma^{(s)}(k) \quad . \qquad (II.6)$$

Beside the set $\Gamma^{(0)}(k) = \{0\}$ which consists of trivial solution $\gamma = 0$, any partial set of solutions $\Gamma^{(s)}(k)$ here is supposed to have the form

$$\Gamma^{(s)}(k) \equiv \{ \ \mu_{i_1} + \mu_{i_2} + \ldots + \mu_{i_s} - (\mu_{j_1} + \mu_{j_2} + \ldots + \mu_{j_s})\} \qquad (II.7)$$

providing

$$i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s = 1, 2, \ldots, k \quad , \quad j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s = k+1, k+2, \ldots, r+1 \quad . \qquad (II.8)$$

It is important to notice here that no any two indices can take the same value in (II.7). One thus has

$$dim\Gamma^{(s)}(k) = B[k, s] \ B[r + 1 - k, s]$$

for which

$$B[n, m] \equiv \frac{n!}{(n - m)! \ m!}$$

is the Binomial coefficient. We therefore totally have

$$1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \ B[k, s] \ B[r + 1 - k, s] = B[r + 1, k]$$

number of solutions. Our conjecture is now clear for A_r Lie algebras in view of the fact that $dimW(\lambda_k) = B[r + 1, k]$.

To show that the conjecture 2 is also holds here, we remark that (I.4) is equivalent to

$$(\gamma_A(i), \gamma_A(j)) = \frac{s_i + s_j}{2}$$

where $\gamma_A(i) \in \Gamma^{(s_i)}(i)$, $\gamma_A(j) \in \Gamma^{(s_j)}(j)$ and $s_i, s_j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ for $\Gamma(k)^+$, as in (II.6).

Let us illustrate this in the simple but non-trivial example of A_3. We first need an explicit presentation of A_3 Weyl group elements Σ_A's for $A = 1, 2, \ldots, 24$. This will be given on the left-hand side of the following table in terms of multiple products of simple reflections σ_i which correspond to simple roots α_i . Its right-hand side gives us, on the othet hand, the sets of special roots by the aid of which we see that (I.9) is in fact valid for A_3 Lie algebra.

$\Sigma_1 = 1$	$\mathcal{R}(1) = \{0, 0, 0\}$
$\Sigma_2 = \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(2) = \{\alpha_1, 0, 0\}$
$\Sigma_3 = \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(3) = \{0, \alpha_2, 0\}$
$\Sigma_4 = \sigma_3$	$\mathcal{R}(4) = \{0, 0, \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_5 = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(5) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 0\}$
$\Sigma_6 = \sigma_1\ \sigma_3$	$\mathcal{R}(6) = \{\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_7 = \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(7) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2, 0\}$
$\Sigma_8 = \sigma_2\ \sigma_3$	$\mathcal{R}(8) = \{0, \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_9 = \sigma_3\ \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(9) = \{0, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{10} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(10) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 0\}$
$\Sigma_{11} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_3$	$\mathcal{R}(11) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{12} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(12) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{13} = \sigma_2\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_3$	$\mathcal{R}(13) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{14} = \sigma_2\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(14) = \{0, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{15} = \sigma_3\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(15) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{16} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_3$	$\mathcal{R}(16) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{17} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(17) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{18} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(18) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{19} = \sigma_2\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(19) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{20} = \sigma_2\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(20) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{21} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2$	$\mathcal{R}(21) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{22} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(22) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{23} = \sigma_2\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(23) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 2\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$
$\Sigma_{24} = \sigma_1\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_3\ \sigma_2\ \sigma_1$	$\mathcal{R}(24) = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 2\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$

In the table above, it is seen that elements of sets $\mathcal{R}(A)$ are chosen, respectively among elements of the sets

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(1)^+ &= \{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\} \\
\Gamma(2)^+ &= \{0, \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 2\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\} \\
\Gamma(3)^+ &= \{0, \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}
\end{aligned}$$

which are defined as in the first conjecture.

REFERENCES

[1] J.E.Humphreys, Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory, N.Y., Springer-Verlag (1972)

[2] H.R.Karadayi and M.Gungormez, On the Calculation of Group Characters, math-ph/0008014

[3] H.R.Karadayi and M.Gungormez, On an Explicit Calculation of Signatures (submitted)

[4] M.Gungormez, work in preparation

[5] http://atlas.cc.itu.edu.tr/ ~ karadayi

[6] H.R.Karadayi and M.Gungormez, Further Exploration of Weyl Character Formula(submitted)

[7] H.R.Karadayi and M.Gungormez, Summing over the Weyl Groups of E_7 and E_8, math-ph/9812014

[8] H.R.Karadayi, Anatomy of Grand Unifying Groups I and II, ICTP preprints, IC/81/213 and 224

H.R.Karadayi and M.Gungormez, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen., 32 (1999) 1701-1707